

June 2012 Newsletter

1) International boycott forces PepsiCo to surrender and cease using aborted fetal cell lines to discover new flavor enhancers!

We can make a difference! All we have to do is say NO to the companies who exploit other human beings for commercial purposes. Read on to find out about the companies still using aborted fetal cell lines to discover new additives and flavor enhancers to put in our foods and beverages and help us [reveal the truth](#).

2) Regressive Autism Linked to Hundreds of De Novo Mutations.

Over the past 12 months multiple publications have come out from top universities showing that children with regressive autism have hundreds of different mutations, all tied in to pathways important for nerve cell communication, that their parents do not have. These publications completely refute the theory that regressive autism is a genetic disease. Most importantly, these publications confirm the work we are doing here at SCPI. What is known to cause hundreds of different and new mutations? Radiation, chemicals, ultraviolet light and *foreign DNA*. SCPI is ahead of the field. Help us [protect our children](#).

3) SCPI blacklisted from federal grants?

We have suspected this, and now we know. We are not afraid to stand up to the status quo to do the research that will protect our children. For this we are "too controversial" to even get our grants reviewed. What is so controversial about not wanting aborted fetal DNA and retroviruses in our vaccines? What is so controversial about trying to stop the loss of 2% of our boys to autism? Please support us with your donations! We have no other source of funds.

PLEASE [DONATE](#) TODAY! WE RELY ON YOUR SUPPORT!

Dr. Theresa Deisher, President, Sound Choice Pharmaceutical Institute

PepsiCo Surrenders!

It came as a shock to me when I received a phone call two months ago on a Thursday afternoon from Debi Vinnedge of Children of God for Life, one of our board members at SCPI, telling me that after months of harsh rhetoric and legal maneuverings PepsiCo had done an about face and agreed to cease using aborted fetal cell lines in their research to discover new flavor enhancers. PepsiCo had an agreement with Senomyx to discover new flavors, and Senomyx uses aborted fetal cell lines to do their research.

Why did PepsiCo make such an about face? They had fought a shareholder resolution to inform people about what they were doing and they seemed to be winning that battle, they had justified their agreement with Senomyx because other companies are doing it, and they seemed to think they weren't doing anything wrong. What convinced them otherwise? They were losing money because they made the mistake of thinking that people would not care how their drinks were made. PepsiCo was wrong. It turns out that people do care and they are willing to give up their sodas if aborted fetal cells are used to help make them.

Some companies are still using Senomyx's aborted fetal cell technology to discover food additives and flavor enhancers. We need to tell Kraft Cadbury, Nestle, Solae Soybean and Firmenich that we won't use their products if they keep using aborted fetal cells.

The public won't sit quietly and have aborted fetal cells used to discover additives for our foods and beverages yet we do nothing about the aborted fetal cells used to make childhood vaccines? In the case of vaccines, the aborted fetal cell material contaminates the final product. When we inject our children with MMR II and Varivax we are also injecting them with fragments of aborted fetal DNA and a retrovirus. That should disturb us even more than the use of aborted fetal cells for PepsiCo's research and discovery. All we have to do is say NO thank you and the vaccines would be made using other cell lines. It really is that simple.

CONFIRMED : Regressive Autism is Not Genetic!

We at Sound Choice understood years ago, after reading the published literature about regressive autism, that this was not a genetic disease. Hundreds of different mutations had been published, albeit it in different papers, that were linked to regressive autism. A disease with hundreds of diverse mutations associated with it cannot be a genetic disease. One does not need to be a rocket scientist to figure that out. Even though the information was scattered in so many different publications, at Sound Choice we put it all together. Finally the rocket scientists have caught up with what we have known for years. They have sequenced genes from children with regressive autism and, as we would expect,

discovered that regressive autism is associated with hundreds of different mutations that are not found in the parents. **Now we all know; regressive autism is not a genetic disease!**

In June 2011, three papers were published almost simultaneously, reporting for the first time results from sequencing the entire genome of children with 'simplex' or regressive autism. The sequencing studies were done at top universities, including Stanford (I put them first merely because I am a Stanford grad), Columbia, Radcliffe, Albert Einstein College of Medicine, Yale, U of Michigan, UCLA and others. This spring several additional papers were published in top journals confirming those first sequencing studies and demonstrating that the de novo mutations greatly increase the risk of autism spectrum disorder. De novo means not passed down from the parents.

What will people do with this knowledge? The scientists who published these papers state that they will do additional studies to translate their findings to better diagnosis and to identify potential treatments for ASD. Treatment and diagnosis are good, but wouldn't it be more efficient to translate these findings to PREVENT children from getting regressive ASD in the first place? Sound Choice seems to be one of the few organizations using science to promote prevention.

Why are these studies that demonstrate hundreds of diverse de novo mutations so important? Because, combined with the 'change point' analysis we have done at Sound Choice and the published 'change point' information from the EPA, we now know that we are looking for environmental triggers that occurred in the US between 1980 and 1984, 1987 and 1991, and 1995 and 1998 that could cause hundreds of new and diverse mutations to arise in our children.

What is known to cause hundreds of new and diverse mutations? Radiation, chemicals, ultraviolet light and [foreign DNA](#). There are no radiation, chemical or ultraviolet light events that coincide with the years when autism disorder took a rise in the US. What is correlated to the years that autism disorder rates rose in the US is the switch to or introduction of vaccines contaminated with aborted fetal DNA and a retrovirus.

According to CDC statistics for children born in the year 2000, we are now losing 1 out of every 54 boys to a lifelong debilitating disease called autism spectrum disorder. With our current birth rate we are generating 123 kids every 24 hours who will have autism by their eighth birthday. Autism is a life long disability, it does not shorten life span. Most autistic children need supervision for life. Thus, for every autistic child we will need to employ an adult to take care of that child for eighty plus years.

The cost is enormous and we are just seeing the beginnings of this crisis as the first wave, the 1979-2000 group is now coming of age and becoming public charges. What is left behind are thousands of bankrupt parents and teetering school systems, the two segments of our society stuck with the bill so far.

Meanwhile, HHS has its head buried in the sand. Since vaccines are a sacred cow on both sides of the political spectrum, any hint that the vaccine program may have some role in this disaster is simply ignored or deemed the rantings of the lunatic fringe. No serious effort has been made to explore this obvious possibility.

At Sound Choice we have the courage to stand up openly and respect the sanctity of all human life. We have the courage to do the research showing how vaccine contaminants can damage our genes. We have the courage to work for the prevention of new cases of autism, and we have the courage to develop vaccines that we can use safely and in good conscience. However, we cannot do it without you!

If our research does not move forward, and rapidly, we will continue to lose 123 children everyday to the disease of autism. We will continue to contaminate our products and our bodies with aborted fetal debris, and that contaminates our hearts as well. Whether you are pro-life or pro-choice, you know that commoditizing the remains of other human beings demeans us all. Support our research! Help us save babies from abortion and help us save born children from the devastating disease of autism.

Please share this [newsletter](#) with as many people as you can. We are in the process of setting up a new website and a Facebook page. In the interim, we ask you to help us use social networking to get this information out there so that people can learn about what is in their vaccines, so that they can say NO thank you to the vaccine manufacturers, and so they can support us if they are able.

Has SCPI been blacklisted from federal grants?

I am a plaintiff in the Sherley vs Sebelius lawsuit to stop the government from wasting tax payer money on embryonic stem cells. Embryonic stem cells are good for forming tumors and for cloning, but not useful for treating people. In previous newsletters I have told you about the adult stem cells that veterinarians are using to treat animals, about the US celebrities who have flown to Europe and South America to be treated with adult stem cells, and I have told you why we can't get adult stem cells here in the US and what the true motivation is for scientists who insist on working with embryonic and aborted fetal cells. If you asked a veterinarian why they are using adult stem cells instead of embryonic they would tell

you that embryonic stem cells form tumors, that embryonic stem cells are rejected by the immune system, and that embryonic stem cells are too expensive.

Since agreeing to be a plaintiff in that lawsuit I have not been able to get my grant applications reviewed, let alone funded. Recently, we received a preliminary notice from the Department of Defense that a pilot grant application we had submitted with a physicist collaborator of ours at a major university would be funded. The grant would be distributed over a two year period and is only enough to partially fund the salary of one of our hard working research associates. However, I was thrilled because \$24,000 a year for two years is \$24,000 less that I have to raise. Since that preliminary notice, unfortunately, higher ups in the DOD have begun to harass us and demand more and more information and justification.

We provide the information and documents they request and each time they come back with more burdensome and ridiculous requests. Our collaborator has been asked to submit a full audit when they don't even submit full audits for grants 10 times this size. I have just been asked, for the second time, to justify a salary for a research associate with a BS degree and two years experience. This salary is all that Sound Choice can afford, and yet it is 15% BELOW market rate and comes without benefits. We offer no health insurance, no retirement, and no perks other than the opportunity for people to work on science that is critical to our nation's defense.

Why do I say that our research is critical to our nation's defense? Because we cannot

survive as a nation if we continue to lose 123 children daily to autism. The Department of Defense should be upping their level of support for our research, rather than nickeling and diming us over a \$24,000 annual, two year grant. Shame on them!

This is why your support is so critical to us. It is the only funding we have.

This is not the first time I have been blacklisted. On the first panel I participated on to speak publicly about the 'science' of embryonic stem cells (ES cells) - about the tumors that form, about the truth that we cannot stop the tumor formation, and about the truth of adult stem cells - a pro-ES scientist on the panel leaned over to me and said, "I am going to break you...for this." My opponent was true to his word and taking part on that panel and telling the truth cost me jobs. Another scientist had the courage to tell me that I had been blacklisted, but none of the scientists involved had the courage to stand up publicly and say that blacklisting a scientist for telling the truth was not right. Since when do we sanction the blacklisting of scientists who tell the truth?

Based on successfully blacklisting me from jobs, one would think this embryonic stem cell proponent would be satisfied and filled with confidence. Yet, during planning for a recent biotechnology conference on stem cells this scientist threatened to walk out of the conference not just if I was invited to speak but if I even showed up to attend the conference. What is he so afraid of? He is afraid the truth.

You can stop them from silencing the truth by your support for our work!

Not on our mailing list? [Sign up today.](#)

WE RELY SOLELY ON OUR DONORS AND GOD'S GRACE TO CONTINUE OUR WORK!

www.soundchoice.org

Sound Choice Pharmaceutical Institute

1102 Columbia Street Suite 316-322

Eklind Hall

Seattle, WA 98104